

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,116	07/28/2003	Peter Mardilovich	200309593-1	5931
22879	7590 04/11/2006		EXAMINER	
	FACKARD COMPAI	LEE, CYNTHIA K		
	72400, 3404 E. HARMON	ARTIRUT	DARED HIR COCO	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			1745	

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summans	10/629,116	MARDILOVICH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
7	Cynthia Lee	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2] - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	July 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- alaatian nanuinanant				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiga) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	·	·			
 Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Burea 	•	eceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	3) 5) Notice of Inf	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u></u> .			

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-48, drawn to a method of fabricating a support structure, classified in class 264, subclass 48.
- III. Claims 49-67, drawn to a fuel cell and an apparatus comprising a fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the method can be used by a product that doesn't require an electrolyte to be deposited in the pores.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Timothy Myers on 31 Mar 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1745

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-8699. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ckl

RAYMOND ALEJANDRO PRIMARY EXAMINER